

W. H. JACOB, Editor.

Wednesday, March 20th, 1867.

W. H. JACOB, Editor.

Democratic State Convention.

The Democratic State Convention, at its meeting on January 29th, at Harrisburg,

adopted the following resolutions:

1st. That the regular Convention of the party, for nominating a candidate for the

Supreme Bench, be held at Harrisburg, on the second Tuesday of June, 1867, at twelve o'clock M., and that said Convention be composed of the usual number of delegates.

2nd. In addition thereto, it is recommended to the Democracy of Pennsylvania to

forthwith elect, in the usual manner, two delegates, of recognized position and influence in the party, for each Representative and Senator in their respective districts, who shall meet in Mass Convention, at Harrisburg, on a day to be fixed by the Chairman of the State Central Committee.

By order of the Democratic State Com.,

W. M. A. WALLACE, Chairman.

## THE VETO OF THE MILITARY "RECONSTRUCTION BILL."

We publish this week the President's veto of this misnamed bill. A proper name for it would be, "A bill declaring certain States out of the Union, and that they shall forever remain out." The presumption of these men who style themselves the Congress of the United States, is monstrous. When did the civilized world ever witness such doings? and much more, how could it be reasonably expected at this age, and in this country that such high-handed measures would be taken?

The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter.

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

Why, what is the state of the question, as regards reconstruction, "so-called"? Are these Southern States in or out of the Union? We repeat the stereotyped and well-considered doctrine, announced by the Radicals themselves, that no State can go out of the Union; and that the long and dreary war of four years was for the purpose of keeping them in the Union; and now, after this bloody struggle, we are told, in substance,

"The President has shown his courage, and what is more important, has made known the will of the great mass of the American People, in this veto; and history will give him credit for his action in this matter."

## Township Election.

The Ticket supported in opposition to the straight Republican Ticket was elected in this Township on Friday last, by majorities ranging from twenty-two to sixty-seven!

The whole Republican Ticket as formed by the leading spirits of that party in Convention, was defeated, except the candidates for Constables, who had no opposition, and one Assistant Assessor who was a candidate on both Tickets.

The result is not claimed as a Democratic victory, but was caused in a great measure by the apparent mismanagement of our Township affairs for years.

It was determined by the people to vote for men as officers and not as politicians, and teach the few who have been running wild, that freemen have power, and liberty to exercise the same.

The successful Ticket was composed of good men of both the Democratic and Republican party. The following gentlemen were elected:

Judge of Elections, JEREMIAH J. BROWER.

Inspectors of Elections, JOSIAH H. FURMAN and ELIAS MENDENHALL.

School Directors, CHARLES B. BROCKWAY and ANDREW M. RUPERT.

Road Supervisors, PETER BILLMEYER, JNO. J. BARKLEY, and MATTHIAS SHAFER.

Assessor, LEONARD B. RUPERT.

Assistant Assessors, JOSEPH W. HENDERSON and ELI BARTON.

Overseers of the Poor, JOHN LATCOCK and SAMUEL MELLICK.

Constables, LEONARD S. STIKEMAN and CHESTER C. MARR.

A Western Rebellion.

The white men of Omaha, Nebraska, propose to remain white. In square, stand up fight with the Mongrels on the naked issue of negro equality, they achieved a glorious victory at their late municipal election.

Last October the Mongrels carried Omaha. Now they are beaten by an average of nearly four hundred majority. White Democracy is at a premium. All honor to the gallant Democrats of Omaha! Let the cry be raised, "down with Mongrelism," and the Democracy will sweep into power like an avalanche.

Congress undertook to overthrow the laws of Nebraska by legislating that negroes should vote. Some white men there met it in the following decided if not eloquent manner:

NOTICE TO THE NEGROES OF OMAHA CITY.—The first Black Man that takes his Stand at the Polls to Vote he will get his head skinned to the bone from his Enemy. Watch out you God dam black puppy for that pace of yours.

This is flat "rebellion" against our august Congress, and a Brigadier should be at once sent to teach loyalty to the rough backwoods-men of the latest Province added to our Empire. Suppose some Southern Province should act in this way, the howls of ye Mongrels would go screaming through a million of ye Puritan noses in a single blast!

The Fenian War in Ireland.

A Despatch over the Atlantic Cable, on Friday last, says the Fenian Rebellion has broken out in earnest in Ireland. The revolutionists have attacked towns and barracks garrisoned by British troops, and the first blood in the struggle has been shed. The reports which reach us are dated in London, Liverpool and Dublin on the 9th, and relate only the transactions of the previous day. They foreshadow serious trouble for the British government. The Fenians are evidently well organized and under experienced military leadership. The points they have selected for their movements are such as strategic ability alone would point out. The principal risings have been at Dublin, Castle Martyr, near the city of Cork, and in the ancient city of Drogheda, on the Boyne river. The communication by railroad between Cork and Dublin was completely interrupted on Wednesday last, and the telegraph wires all over Ireland are said to have been cut down. The insurgent army in the neighborhood of Dublin is reported by the Chief Secretary of Ireland, Lord Nass, to number from one thousand to four thousand men. All the despatches concur in the statement that the Fenians are under good discipline, and it is highly probable that they aim at obtaining possession of the important cities of Dublin, Cork and Belfast. The fact that we are without intelligence as to the progress of events after the 9th inst., is full of significance and seems to indicate that the troubles are on the increase, although one of the latest despatches from the government headquarters in London state that "all is quiet."

DIED IN THE CAR.—On Tuesday morning, at 2.45, when the Northern Central R.R. train from the north arrived in Harrisburg, one of the passengers was found dead. The conductor of the train ascertained the man was dead, called upon the proper officer and had the corpse removed to the dead house where, an inquest was held. It appears the deceased got on the train at Sunbury, but did not attract more than usual attention from the conductor. He had a ticket for Harrisburg, and further than this there is nothing known as to who he was or what his name was. Upon his person several dollars were found, and some papers which indicated he had recently worked in Snyder county. His remains were sent to the Poor House burying ground for interment.

The Black Man is gradually but surely becoming an object of attraction.—Harrisburg Telegraph.

Certainly he is. He is to be made your equal, and then you will be attracted by him and drawn to embrace him in love—for his vote. He may attract the attention of your daughters when they find you associating with him and entertaining him in your parlor. Oh, how lovely!

Since Geary's advent to power, the salary of the Secretary of the Commonwealth has been increased to the sum of three thousand dollars per annum. Five thousand dollars has also been appropriated to repairs of the Governor's house. Last year the amount expended on the public grounds and buildings at Harrisburg was one hundred and twenty-one thousand dollars. This amount furnished a splendid opportunity for "loyal" stealing. What say the tax-payers? Breakers, let us pray!

## Township and Borough Officers.

As a matter of local interest to our readers we have carefully prepared a list of the newly elected Township and Borough Officers.

We have taken great pains to make the list correct, and for purposes of exactness, we copied the returns as they were handed in to the Prothonotary at the Court House.

The following are the gentlemen elected:

BEAVER.—Constable, John Hinterliet; Supervisors, Nathan Bredendear, Charles Hoats; Assessor, A. W. Mann; Assistant Assessors, Moses Schlicher, Daniel Gearhart; Poor Overseers, Charles Michael, Isaac Klingerman; Judge, Andrew Shuman; Auditor, Edward Schell; Inspectors, Levi Michael, David Fink; School Directors, Wm. Michael, Thomas Hoffman.

BENTON.—Constable, Abraham A. Kline; Supervisors, John R. Davis, John Keler; Poor Overseers, Jacob Woolever, Reuben Gibbons; School Directors, Thomas Sigfried, Hiram Ash; Inspectors, Abraham Hartman, William Ash; Assessor, Abraham A. Kline; Assistant Assessor, Stott E. Colley; Judge, John Keler; Auditor, Appelman; Judge, Jeremiah J. Brower; Inspectors, Josiah H. Furman, Elias Mendenhall; School Directors, Chas. J. Brockway, Andrew M. Rupert; Supervisors, Peter Billmeyer, John J. Barkley, Matthias Shaffer; Poor Overseers, John Laycock, Samuel Mellick; Assessor, Leonard B. Rupert; Assistant Assessors, Eli Barton, Jos. Henderson; Constables, Leonard S. Stikeman, Chester C. Marr; Auditor, John K. Grotz.

BOR. BRISTOL.—Chief Burgess, Isaiah Bower; Assessor, Henry Freas; Town Council, W. H. Woodin, S. B. Bowman, D. C. McHenry, William Herrin, Hiram Owen; Justice of the Peace, R. H. Eaton; Judge, Richard Thompson; Inspectors, J. W. Dietrich, William Thomas; School Directors, J. S. Sanders, E. B. Hall; Assessor, N. W. Stecker; Assistant Assessors, George B. Thorne, J. McVinch; Poor Overseers of Poor, Gilbert Fowler, Jacob Moyer; Constable, John McAnall; High Constable, Hiram Smeathers; Auditor, Hiram R. Bower.

BRIARCLIFF.—Judge, Isaac Bower; Inspectors, Levi Shaffer, Reece M. Eck; Supervisors, Emmet Dietrich, Jeremiah C. Smith; Assessor, Enos L. Adams; Assistant Assessors, Samuel Klehner, George M. Bower; School Directors, Peter McVinch, James Boyles; Overseers of the Poor, Wm. Kinetob, George Evans; Constable, Adam Sult; Auditor, John H. Smith.

CATAWISSA.—Constable, Peter G. Campbell; Assessor, Clinton Ellis; Judge, Solomon Shuman; Inspectors, Walter Scott, Samuel B. Deimer; School Directors, Benjamin P. Fortner, George Richel; Poor Overseers, Stephen Baldy, Peter Kerns; Auditor, John K. Robbins; Assistant Assessors, George B. Thorne, J. McVinch; Supervisors, John Keler, John Strauss.

CENTRE.—Judge, Jeremiah Hagibach; Inspectors, Elias Greay, Theo. Med. Piers; Supervisors, Samuel Hagibach, Samuel H. Hutchison; Overseers of the Poor, Frederick Fiey, Daniel Neyhard; Assessor, Jesse Hoffman; Assistant Assessors, Saml B. Bower, Frederick Hagibach; School Directors, Henry Shaffer, Samuel C. Klehner; Constable, Hiram A. Schweppenheiser; Auditor, Lafayette Greay; Town Clerk, Samuel Neyhard.

CONYNGHAM.—Justices of the Peace, Uriah Tiley, Andrew Mall; Constable, Franklin Warnick; Judge, Charles Neuman; Inspectors, Peter Snyder, Jas. Brennan; School Directors, Patrick McGinley, 3 years, Peter Snyder, 2 years, Frederick R. Wohlforth, 1 year; Auditors, Casper Hughes 3 years, Henry Moser, 2 years, Luke Brennan, 1 year; Town Clerk, William Dolphin; Supervisors, Lawrence Casey; Assessor, Frederick R. Wohlforth; Assistant Assessor, James Dewey, William Goodman; Poor Overseers, Peter S. Helwig, Charles Eager; Treasurer, Frederick R. Wohlforth.

FISHINGCREEK.—Judge, Levi Beilshine; Inspectors, J. F. Hutchison, J. V. Allear; Constable, Thos. J. Hutchison; Poor Overseers, James Eager, John Wenner; School Directors, C. B. White, Cyrus Robbins; Assessor, Hugh McBride; Assistant Assessors, Philip Appelman, C. B. McHenry; Auditor, Daniel McHenry; School Directors, Joseph Coleman, Peter Creveling; Town Clerk, John Sutton.

FRANKLIN.—Judge, Michael Mensch; Inspectors, Daniel Zarr, Wm. Claywell; Supervisors, John Reider, Joseph Hartman; Constable, Hamilton F. Clark; Auditor, Jacob Kosterbauer; Assessor, William Teple; Assistant Assessors, Clinton Meunhill, Jonathan Reider; School Directors, Ziba Osann, Christian Seesholtz; Poor Overseers, Abraham Lilley, Joshua Mendenhall; Town Clerk, Samuel Hoagland.

GREENWICH.—Judge, Parvin Eves; Inspectors, Wesley Morris, A. E. Kline; Supervisors, A.